# 5.3 Implementation of International Code of Conduct

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
5.3 Code of Cond.																						
No/vague response																						12
Implemented																						9
GAP																						3
Safe use training																						
Product stewardship																						
Licensing of handlers																						
Pesticide standards																						
Pesticide recommendations																						
Pesticide disposal																						

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

# **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- Nine countries affirmed their commitment to the Code of Conduct, but only few provided details
- Implementation is often reflected in existence of legislation, registration and licensing
- Code is linked with GAP, safe use training, product stewardship

#### **Notes**

- Not enough information to assess the status of Code implementation
- Implementation may vary greatly between countries

#### To Be Considered

More specific questions about Code implementation

# 5.4 Monitoring and Management of Pesticide Residues

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
5.4 Residues																						
No response																						9
Vague response																						1
Residue laboratory														priv			6					11
annual analyses		0		publ										76					23525			2
exceeding MRL				-										27%					4%			2
Enforcement linkage				-		-							_									2
Registration/MRL setting																						3
Import/export																						1
Post-registration/policy																						2
Blood																						1
Water																						1
Resistance																						1

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

#### **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- Most countries have pesticide residue laboratories; the annual number of analyses ranges from 0 to over 23 000; only two countries reported details on samples exceeding MRL
- Only two countries reported a linkage to enforcement authorities
- Some residue monitoring is on imported and exported products
- Pesticide residues are monitored for registration and post-registration purposes
- Human and environmental monitoring was only reported once each
- China also monitors the insect resistance to pesticide residues

#### **Notes**

- Many reporting institutions seemed uninformed about residue monitoring, possibly because many food monitoring programmes are the responsibility or health authorities
- Only few post-registration (environmental and health) monitoring programmes

#### To Be Considered

- Distinguish between pesticide residue monitoring for export, import, or local food and the environment
- Use number of analyses as indicator of size of monitoring programmes

# 5.5 Development and Application Status of Biopesticides Including Botanical Pesticides

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
5.5 Biopesticides																						
No/vague response																						7
used																						6
registered				~100		6+6	8	91		11						10	_		?		60	8

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

# **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- Biopesticides are used in most countries
- Registration procedures exist in many countries; number of registered products ranges from a few to about 100
- No information on the amount of products used in relation to pesticides

#### **Notes**

- The use of biopesticides is being encouraged and increasing
- Market share of biopesticides unknown

#### To Be Considered

- Key indicators:
  - % biopesticides of total registrations
  - Sales statistics

# 5.6 List of Banned/Prohibited Pesticides (Year, Insecticide, Fungicide, Herbicide)

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
5.6 List Banned																						
No response																						7
No of banned a.i.											26							26	96		28	4
List of banned				16		25	36	20				25	18	12		23	28					9
insecticide				8		14	27					16	13									
fungicide				3		3	3					3	3									
herbicide						6	6					2	2									
rodenticide				5								1										
fumigants						2						3										
No. of restricted				21		11	4						7			4	18	31	11		17	9
Cancelled registrations		103																				

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

# **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- All responding countries had banned or restricted pesticides; or had cancelled registrations
- The number of banned pesticides ranged from 12 to 96; 9 countries provided lists, 4 only numbers
- Nine countries had restricted the use of certain pesticide formulations; the number of products ranged from 4 to 31

#### **Notes**

- Most banned/restricted pesticides may be PIC or POP chemicals
- Many hazardous chemicals may be still widely used

#### To Be Considered

• Update lists of banned products to show changes over time

# 5.7 Name and Address of National Authority for Pesticide Registration

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
5.7 Registration																						
No response																						9
Address																						12
Same as NPQO																						

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

# **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- 12 countries provided the address of the pesticide registration authority
- In three cases was the address the same as for the NPQO/NPPO

#### **Notes**

• Pesticide management is either a separate branch of plant protection or a separate authority

# To Be Considered

• Update address on country fact sheet

# 6. Development of International Cooperation Projects or Programme for Plant Protection

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
6. Cooperation																						
No response																						7
Coop. needs																						3
Intern. memberships																9						1
PQ Courses/workshops																						2
Donors																						
Denmark			IPM																		1	3
World Bank																						1
EU				IPM																		1
CFC																						1
Germany				Pest																		
NRI/CAB																						
Australia													2								1	4
New Zealand													1								1	2
Japan																					1	
FAO Vegetable																						
Oxfam																						
Projects																						
IPM			2	5							2		1								1	6
Plant Quarantine			1								1		2								3	4
PP																						1
Pesticide																						
Migratory locust & Kaz																						
Migratory pests - S. Korea				2010																		
Cotton IPM/EU				2004																		
Cotton bollworm/CFC				2005																		
Cotton Bt/NRI Cabi				2005																		
Obsolete pestic./GTZ																						
ACIAR fruit fly/Austr																						
ASEAN IPM Knowledge																						
Fruit fly treatment																					2007	
NZAID-Mekong																						

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

# **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- Information in the reports is not complete; reporting institution not always informed
- 3 countries expressed cooperation needs, and 1 listed its international memberships
- Most cooperation projects came to an end by 2005
- No new projects were reported

#### **Notes**

- There are new demands on plant protection which many countries cannot meet by themselves
- APPPC has developed countries that could assist developing countries

#### To Be Considered

• Increased effort to help meet the challenges

# 7. Name of National Plant Protection Organization:

Guidelines for Country Report:

*Name of the Chief:* 

Designation:

Office Address:

*Phone and Fax:* 

E-mail:

Website:

In addition, please provide name and address of **head and institutions** who should be **officially contacted** for future consultation, nomination, invitation, etc. if necessary for administrative procedures.

	Australia	Bangladesh	Cambodia	China	Fiji	India	Indonesia	Japan*	Korea DPR	Korea, Rep. of	Lao PDR	Malaysia	Myanmar	Nepal	New Zealand	Pakistan	Philippines	Sri Lanka	Thailand	Tonga	Viet Nam	
7. NPPO																						
No response																						
NPP Organization																						
Contact(s)	2			3			6			2					1					2		6
different from IPP				X															X			

<sup>\*</sup> Japan is not a member country of APPPC.

#### **Observations** (from 21 country reports)

- All countries gave names and addresses for NPPO
- Countries that gave more than one address usually did not have a single national plant protection organization
- Countries that have no NPPO may designate the entire Ministry of Agriculture as a proxy organization (Tonga)

#### Notes

• Countries give information on NPPO even when such organizations do not exist

#### To Be Considered

• Don't think of a single NPPO, but of a network of national plant protection organizations